DIRECT TESTIMONY

of

BURMA C. JONES

Accountant
Accounting Department
Financial Analysis Division
Illinois Commerce Commission

Northern Illinois Gas Company
d/b/a Nicor Gas Company

Proposed General Increase in Gas Rates

Docket No. 08-0363

August 27, 2008

OFFICIAL FILE
LC.C. DOCKET NO. 12-0363

Exhibit No. 3.0

Witness
Date Reporter 76

Table of Contents

Witness Identification	1
Schedule Identification	2
Rate Case Expense	3
Charitable Contributions	6
Office Supplies and Expenses	12
Memberships and Dues	18
Environmental Costs	20
Rider 28 – Volume Balancing Adjustment ("Rider VBA")	21
Rider 29 – Energy Efficiency Plan ("Rider EEP")	31
Conclusion	37

1	<u>Witne</u>	ess Identification
2	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
3		
4	A.	My name is Burma C. Jones. My business address is 527 East Capitol
5		Avenue, Springfield, Illinois 62701.
6	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
7		
8	A.	I am currently employed as an Accountant in the Accounting Department
9		of the Financial Analysis Division of the Illinois Commerce Commission
10		("Commission").
1 1	Q.	Please describe your professional background and affiliations.
12		
13	Α.	I am a licensed Certified Public Accountant with a Bachelor of Arts in
14		Accountancy from Sangamon State University, which is now known as the
15		University of Illinois at Springfield. I joined the Staff of the Illinois
16		Commerce Commission ("Staff") in October 1999. My prior accounting
17		experience includes five years as the Assistant Controller for a mid-size
18		retail business and two and one-half years on the accounting staff of the

Illinois Office of the Comptroller.

20	Q.	Have you previously testified before any regulatory bodies?
21		
22	A.	Yes, I have testified on several occasions before the Commission.
23	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
24		
25	A.	I have reviewed and analyzed Northern Illinois Gas Company's ("Nicor
26		Gas" or "Company") filing, and the underlying data. The purpose of my
27		testimony is to propose adjustments to 1) rate case expense, 2) charitable
28		contributions, 3) office supplies and expenses, 4) memberships and dues,
29		and 5) environmental costs. In addition, I am recommending changes and
30		improvements to Rider VBA and Rider EEP in the event they are adopted
31		by the Commission.
32	Sched	dule Identification
33	Q.	Are you sponsoring any schedules as part of ICC Staff Exhibit 3.0?
34		
35	A.	Yes, I prepared the following schedules for the Company, which show
36		data as of, or for the test year ended, December 31, 2009:

37		ADJUSTMENT SCHEDULES
38		Schedule 3.01 – Adjustment to Rate Case Expense
39		Schedule 3.02 – Adjustment to Charitable Contributions
40		Schedule 3.03 – Adjustment to Office Supplies and Expenses
41		Schedule 3.04 – Adjustment to Memberships and Dues
42		Schedule 3.05 – Adjustment to Environmental Costs
43	Rate (Case Expense
44	Q.	Please describe Schedule 3.01, Adjustment to Rate Case Expense.
45		
46	Α.	Schedule 3.01 presents my proposed adjustment to rate case expense to
47		correct the amount of unamortized rate case expense from the prior rate
48		case and to change the amortization period for rate case expense from
49		three years to four years.
50	Q.	Please explain your adjustment to correct the amount of unamortized rate
51		case expense from the prior rate case, Docket No. 04-0779.
52		
53	Α.	My adjustment reduces the amount of unamortized rate case expense
54		from the prior rate case by the amount that will be amortized in January,
55		February, and March 2009. The amortization of rate case expense from

Docket No. 04-0779 is a component of the tariffs currently in effect. To allow future amortization of the unamortized balance at December 31, 2008, as the Company has proposed, would be to ignore the expense recovered between that time and when new tariffs take effect.

Based on the schedule set in the instant proceeding, the Order in this docket will not be entered until March 2009; thus, the earliest that new rates could be expected to take effect is approximately April 1, 2009. By then the Company will have recovered an additional three months of prior rate case expense. My proposed adjustment decreases the unamortized balance by that additional three months of amortization that will have been recovered through existing rates, and amortizes the remaining balance over my proposed amortization period for rate case expense for the instant case.

Q. Please explain your adjustment to change the amortization period for rate case expense from three years to four years.

A. Nicor Gas chose a three-year amortization period for rate case expense because the time period between the previous rate case filing and the

current filing was approximately three years.¹ Based on the following language in proposed Rider 28, Volume Balancing Adjustment ("Rider VBA"), it appears that it will be at least four years before the Company files its next rate case.

This rider shall operate on a pilot basis for a four-year period pursuant to the Commission's order in Docket No. 08-XXXX. The initial adjustments determined under this rider shall be filed with the Commission by April 20, 2013, unless the rider is implemented on a permanent basis upon the Commission's approval in a general rate proceeding.²

Similar language regarding a four-year pilot period is also proposed in Rider 29, Energy Efficiency Plan ("Rider EEP").

Presumably, if Rider VBA allows the Company to recover its fixed costs as anticipated, the Company will want to implement Rider VBA on a permanent basis. If the Company does not recover its fixed costs as anticipated, it is more likely than not that the Company will want to increase base rates. In either scenario, a rate proceeding would occur no sooner than four years in the future. Therefore, it is appropriate to amortize rate case expense for the instant proceeding and the unamortized portion of rate case expense from the prior proceeding over four years instead of three years, as proposed by the Company.

¹ Co. Resp. to Staff DR BCJ 1.07.

Charitabla	Contributions
Ullalitable	CORREDUCIONS

99

105

108

109

110

111

112

113

- 98 Q. Please describe Schedule 3.02, Adjustment to Charitable Contributions.
- A. Schedule 3.02 presents my proposed adjustment to remove contributions to the Aurora Foundation, the Salvation Army-Chicago, Chicago United and The Conference Board from Nicor Gas' recoverable test year expenses because they are costs that should be the responsibility of shareholders, not ratepayers. My proposed adjustment also decreases
- 106 Q. Please describe the purpose of the Company's contribution to the Aurora Foundation.

the projected amount of "Contributions less than \$5,000" in the test year.

A. The contribution to the Aurora Foundation is applied to the Nicor Gas Scholarship Fund ("Fund"), for which the Aurora Foundation is the administrator.³ The Fund provides traditional scholarships, based on academic ability and financial need, and merit scholarships, based on academic ability without consideration of financial need, to high school

^{(..}continued)

² Co. Ex. 14.1, p. 135.

³ Co. Resp. to Staff DR BCJ 2.02.

115		parent employed by Nicor Gas.⁴
116	Q.	Explain why you are proposing that this contribution be removed from
117		recoverable test year expenses.
118		
119	A.	Although the contribution to the Aurora Foundation is for an educational
120		purpose, it is not a charitable contribution that should be recovered from
121		ratepayers. Because the scholarships are available only to students who
122		have a parent employed by Nicor Gas, the only beneficiaries of this
123		"charity" are the Company's own employees. In actuality, the contribution
124		is a component of the Company's overall efforts to attract and retain a
125		qualified workforce. ⁵

The scholarships are available only to students who have a

127 128

129

130

126

114

The contribution to the Salvation Army - Chicago represents Nicor Gas' A. matching contribution to the "Sharing Program," which provides financial

Please describe the purpose of the Company's contribution to the

Q.

Salvation Army - Chicago.

⁴ Id. ⁵ Id.

131		assistance to customers with their natural gas bills. ⁶ The "Sharing
132		Program" is administered by the Salvation Army and funded by direct
133		contributions from Nicor Gas customers and employees, which the
134		Company matches dollar-for-dollar.7
135	Q.	Explain why you are proposing that this contribution be removed from
136		recoverable test year expenses.
137		
138	A.	I am proposing that the Company's contribution to the Salvation Army -
139		Chicago be removed from the test year because the cost should be paid
140		by shareholders. By including the contribution in the test year, Nicor Gas
141		is shifting the funding of its matching contribution to ratepayers.
142		Ratepayers would pay twice for their generosity – once through the direct
143		contribution to the "Sharing Program" and again through base rates.
144	Q.	Has the Commission previously excluded matching contributions from
145		operating expenses?
146		
147	A.	Yes. Matching contributions were disallowed in the following dockets:
148		Docket No. 93-0183 Illinois Power Company

 ⁶ Co. Resp. to Staff DR BCJ 2.07.
 ⁷ Description of Nicor Gas Sharing Program on Company website.

149		Docket No. 95-0076 Illinois-American Water Company
150	Q.	If Staff's proposed adjustment is not accepted by the Commission and the
151		contribution to the Salvation Army - Chicago is allowed to remain in the
152		test year, should the amount be adjusted?
153		
154	A.	Yes. The Company has proposed a test year contribution of \$220,000 to
155		the Salvation Army - Chicago. The description of the program on the
156		Company's website indicates that Nicor Gas matches contributions dollar-
157		for-dollar up to \$175,000. If allowed to remain in the test year, the
158		contribution to the Salvation Army - Chicago should be adjusted
159		downward to \$175,000.
160	Q.	Please explain why you are proposing that the contributions to Chicago
161		United and The Conference Board be removed from recoverable test year
162		expenses.
163		
164	A.	Section 9-227 of the Public Utilities Act ("PUA" or "Act") allows a utility to
165		include in its revenue requirement donations made for the public welfare
166		or for charitable scientific, religious or educational purposes. The

167 Company's contributions to Chicago United and The Conference Board

168 do not appear to fall into any of these categories.

Q. What type of organizations are Chicago United and The Conference Board?

A. The organizations are focused on economic development. Chicago United's mission is to enrich the fabric of the region by building sustainable diversity in business leadership.⁸ A donation to Chicago United "will enable the advancement of multiracial leadership development in corporate governance and executive level management; develop a pipeline of future multiracial executive leaders; and cultivate multiracial business partnerships.⁹ The Conference Board creates and disseminates knowledge about management and the marketplace to help businesses strengthen their performance and better serve society. For over ninety years it has "equipped the world's leading corporations with practical knowledge through issues-oriented research and senior executive peer-to-peer meetings."

⁸ Co. Sch. C-7, p. 14.

⁹ Chicago United website at www.chicago-united.org

¹⁰ Co. Sch. C-7, p. 15.

¹¹ The Conference Board website at www.conference-board.org

185	Contributing to community and economic development organizations is a
186	promotional and goodwill practice. Such contributions may demonstrate
187	good corporate citizenship, but they are not necessary in providing utility
188	service. Consequently, the ratepayers should not be burdened with the
189	expense of the Company's contributions to these community and
190	economic development organizations, and the expense should be
191	removed from the Company's revenue requirement.
192 Q.	Has the Commission previously excluded contributions to community and
193	economic organizations from operating expenses?
194	
195 A.	Yes. Contributions to community and economic organizations were
196	disallowed in the following dockets:
197	Docket No. 03-0403 Consumers Illinois Water Company ¹²
198	Docket No. 02-0690 Illinois American Water Company ¹³
199	Docket No. 90-0128 Contel of Illinois ¹⁴
200 Q.	Please explain why you are proposing a decrease to test year
201	"Contributions less than \$5,000."

¹² Order, Docket No. 03-0403, April 13, 2004, pp. 18-19. ¹³ Order, Docket No. 02-0690, August 12, 2003, pp. 20-21.

203	A.	The Company's projected amount of "Contributions less than \$5,000" for
204		the test year is more than the actual amount expended for the same
205		category in 2007. The Company provided an explanation for a portion of
206		the projected increase. 15 My adjustment decreases "Contributions less
207		than \$5,000" for the unexplained portion of the projected increase.
208	Office	Supplies and Expenses
209	Q.	Please describe Schedule 3.03, Adjustment to Office Supplies and
210		Expenses.
211		
212	A.	Schedule 3.03 presents my proposed adjustment to test year Office
213		Supplies and Expenses to 1) remove branding expenses, 2) remove
214		contributions for economic development, and 3) reduce the projected
215		amount of training and seminar/meeting expenses.
216	Q.	What are branding expenses and why should they be removed from the
217		test year?

^{(..}continued)

14 Order, Docket No. 90-0128, January 16, 1991, pp. 49-50.

15 Co. Resp. to Staff DR BCJ 2.06.

219	A.	Branding expenses represent costs associated with promoting and
220		maintaining a company brand. 16 It is how a company gets its name before
221		the public, i.e., promotional, institutional, or goodwill advertising. For
222		example, included in branding expenses are the costs incurred for Nicor
223		Gas to be a sponsor of the Kane County Cougars, a baseball team, and
224		the Brookfield Zoo, and the cost for over ten thousand Nicor calendars.
225		
226		Branding expenses should be removed from the test year because
227		Section 9-225(2) of the PUA expressly states that advertising costs of a
228		goodwill or institutional nature shall not be considered for the purpose of
229		determining rates:
230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237		In any general rate increase requested by any gas or electric utility company under the provisions of this Act, the Commission shall not consider, for the purpose of determining any rate, charge or classification of costs, any direct or indirect expenditures for promotional, political, institutional or goodwill advertising, unless the Commission finds the advertising to be in the best interest of the Consumer or authorized as provided pursuant to subsection 3 of this Section.
238		Section 9-225(1)(d) of the Act defines goodwill or institutional advertising
239		as:
240 241 242 243		any advertising either on a local or national basis designed primarily to bring the utility's name before the general public in such a way as to improve the image of the utility or to promote controversial issues for the utility or the industry.

¹⁶ Co. Resp. to Staff DR DLH 2.05(g).

Q. Please respond to the Company's claim that branding expense is not promotional, institutional, or goodwill advertising because it includes costs for programs that are in the best interest of the consumer as provided by Section 9-225 of the PUA.¹⁷

Α.

A portion of the Company's branding expense is for programs such as volunteerism by the Company's employees, an energy efficiency poster contest for elementary school students, and a literacy program for kindergarten through third grade students. However, a review of the invoices associated with such programs in 2007 shows that the costs include (1) costs to produce videos of the activities, (2) consultants' fees for drafting media materials and conducting outreach and follow up to the press, and (3) the purchase of products for distribution that include the Company logo. Although there may be some potential benefits to consumers, the Company uses the programs for public relations, i.e., to put its name before the public in a positive way, thus promoting itself and generating goodwill.

¹⁷ Co. Resp. to Staff DR DLH 9.06(b).

¹° I₫

¹⁹ Co. Resp. to Staff DR DLH 9.06(c), Ex. 2.

261	Q.	Has the Commission previously excluded branding expenses from Nicor
262		Gas' operating expenses?

A. Yes. In the Company's previous rate proceeding, Docket No. 04-0779, the Commission found that "Nicor failed to sufficiently justify the branding expenditures being borne by ratepayers. Nicor, therefore, is not entitled to recovery..."²⁰

Q. In the Company's previous rate proceeding, Docket No. 04-0779, branding expenses were recorded in Advertising Expense. How did you determine that the branding expenses recorded in Office Supplies and Expenses in the instant proceeding are similar in nature to the branding expenses disallowed in Docket No. 04-0779?

273

A. I reviewed invoices for non-payroll branding expense charges for the years 2006-2007, which were provided in response to Staff Data Request ("DR") DLH 9.06, and determined that the costs reflected by the invoices are similar in nature to the branding expenses described in the rebuttal

²⁰ Order, Docket No. 04-0779, Sept. 20, 2005, p. 38.

testimony of Company witness Christine L. Suppes in Docket No. 04-0779.²¹

Q. Why are you proposing that the projected contribution for economic development be removed from the Company's revenue requirement?

Α.

The Company states that, "Economic development efforts include strategic grant making to regional and municipal economic development organizations to assist them by providing rate and infrastructure information for prospective businesses considering locating in the territory." My reason for proposing that the projected contribution for economic development be removed from the Company's revenue requirement is the same as the reason set forth above for removing the contributions to Chicago United and The Conference Board; i.e., contributing to economic development organizations is a promotional and goodwill practice. Nicor Gas' contributions to community and economic development organizations are not for the public welfare or for charitable scientific, religious or educational purposes, as required for a recoverable contribution under Section 9-227 of the PUA. The contributions are not necessary in providing utility service. Consequently, the ratepayers should

²¹ Co. Ex. 23.0, pp. 9-10.

not be burdened with the expense of the Company contributing to these community and economic development organizations. Therefore, these expenses should be removed from the Company's revenue requirement. As demonstrated above, the Commission has repeatedly applied this policy.

- Q. Please explain why you are proposing a reduction to the projected amount of training and seminar/meeting expenses in the test year.
- A. I am proposing a reduction because the projected amounts appear to be excessive and the revenue requirement upon which rates are based should reflect a reasonable amount of expense. The projected amounts of training and seminar/meeting expenses in the test year are 337% and 461%, respectively, of the actual amounts expended in fiscal year 2007. The Company's only response to my request to provide all assumptions for the increase and provide all information upon which the Company relied in making the determination was this statement, "The increase is primarily due to expenses related to employee development, engagement,

(..continued)
²² Co. Resp. to Staff DR BCJ 7.02.

313		culture and diversity initiatives that were deferred due to required
314		budgetary reductions." ²³
315	Q.	Please explain the basis for your proposed adjustments to test year
316		training and seminar/meeting expenses.
317		
318	A.	My proposed adjustments are based on the Company's historical
319		spending pattern compared to budget for the years 2005, 2006, and 2007.
320		For each category, I calculated the percent of budget likely to be
321		expended by comparing total actual expenditures for the three-year period
322		to total planned budget expenditures for the same time period. The
323		Company's projected expenditures were multiplied by the respective
324		percent to calculate more reasonable training and seminar/meeting
325		expenses to be included in the revenue requirement.
326	Meml	perships and Dues
327	Q.	Please describe Schedule 3.04, Adjustment to Memberships and Dues.
328		
329	A.	Schedule 3.04 presents my proposed adjustment to remove projected test
330		year Memberships and Dues from the Company's revenue requirement.
331		The memberships and dues are for community and economic

²³ Co. Resp. to Staff DR BCJ 7.04.

and goodwill practice, which, while perhaps promoting good corporate citizenship and enabling employees to keep in contact with other members of the business community, is not necessary in providing utility service. Consequently, the ratepayers should not be burdened with the expense of the Company taking part in these community organizations. The costs should be removed from the Company's revenue requirement.

- Q. Were memberships and dues for community organizations disallowed in Nicor Gas' previous rate proceeding, Docket No. 04-0779?
- A. Yes. The Commission accepted Staff's proposed adjustment to remove the dues and memberships for certain community organizations from the Company's revenue requirement.²⁴
- Q. In addition to Docket No. 04-0779, has the Commission previously excluded memberships and dues for community and economic organizations from operating expenses?

²⁴ Order, Docket No. 04-0779, Sept. 20, 2005, p. 34.

349	A.	Yes. Memberships and dues for community and economic organizations
350		were disallowed in the following dockets:
351		Docket No. 90-0169 Commonwealth Edison Company ²⁵
352		Docket No. 94-0065 Commonwealth Edison Company ²⁶
353		Docket No. 99-0119/99-0131 (Cons.) Central Illinois Light Company ²⁷
354	Enviro	onmental Costs
355	Q.	Please describe Schedule 3.05, Adjustment to Environmental Costs.
356		
357	A.	Schedule 3.05 presents my proposed adjustment to remove costs
358		associated with the clean up of outside mercury regulators that were
359		inadvertently included in the Company's proposed revenue requirement. 28
360		According to Company witness James M. Gorenz, costs of a mercury
361		inspection and repair program initiated by the Company in 2000 are not
362		being recovered from customers. ²⁹
363	Q.	Has Nicor Gas identified costs that were inadvertently excluded from the
364		Company's proposed revenue requirement?
365		

²⁵ Order, Docket No. 90-0169, March 18, 1991, p. 36. ²⁶ Order, Docket No. 94-0065, Jan. 9, 1995, p. 40. ²⁷ Order, Docket No. 99-0119/99-0131 (Cons.), August 25, 1999, p. 35. ²⁸ Co. Resp. to Staff DR BCJ 11.10.

366	A.	The Company has determined that certain costs associated with waste
367		disposal of field generated items were inadvertently excluded from the test
368		year.30 However, this information was not received in time for follow up
369		before the filing of my direct testimony. If discovery indicates that an
370		adjustment is appropriate, I will address the issue in rebuttal testimony.
371	Rider	28 – Volume Balancing Adjustment ("Rider VBA")
372	Q.	Please summarize your testimony regarding the Company's proposed
373		Rider VBA.
374		
375	A.	Although I am not opining about whether Rider VBA should be adopted
376		my testimony provides a description of my understanding of Rider VBA as
377		presented by the Company and my proposed changes and/or
378		improvements to the rider in the event that it is adopted by the
379		Commission.
380	Q.	Describe your understanding of Rider VBA.
381		
382	A.	Proposed Rider VBA provides a means, independent of customer gas
383		consumption, for the Company to recover the following:

(..continued)
²⁹ Co. Ex. 11.0, p. 19.
³⁰ Co. Resp. to Staff DR BCJ 11.10.

384		 a) that portion of fixed costs currently recovered through the
385		volumetric portion of delivery service charges on a monthly basis;
386		b) any over/under-recovery of the fixed cost portion of the volumetric
387		charges on a per customer basis; and
388		c) any Commission ordered recovery determined from an annual true-
389		up (reconciliation) proceeding.
390	Q.	How does Nicor Gas' Rider VBA compare to Rider VBA approved by the
391		Commission in the Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company ("Peoples")
392		and North Shore Gas Company ("North Shore") rate cases, Docket Nos.
393		07-0241/0242 (Cons.)?
394		
395	A.	Except for the amortization period for the reconciliation adjustment, Nicor
396		Gas' Rider VBA is similar in all respects to Rider VBA approved by the
397		Commission in Docket Nos. 07-0241/0242 (Cons.). Whereas the
398		Peoples/North Shore Rider VBA amortizes the reconciliation adjustment
399		over a ten-month period, Nicor Gas proposes to amortize the
400		reconciliation adjustment over a nine-month period.
401	Q.	Are you proposing any changes to the Nicor Gas Rider VBA?
402		

Yes. If the Commission approves Rider VBA as filed, I propose the
following changes:
1. Correct the length of the reconciliation period included in the definition
of "Previous Reconciliation Period."
Section A – Definitions Previous Reconciliation Period shall mean the ten-month nine-month reconciliation period that ended as of the most recent Fiscal Year.
2. Replace the formula for RA ₁ to reflect a revised formula in the
Peoples/North Shore Riders.
Section B – Determination of Adjustment RA ₁ shall be represented by the following formula:
RCM - ((AM/AC) x PFC x RCC) - VBAR
(RCM - (AM/AC x RCC)) x PFC - VBAR
3. Enhance language regarding an annual reconciliation process
removed from Section D - Terms and Conditions and place it in
Section C – Reports and Reconciliations.
Section C – Reports and Reconciliations. The Company shall file with the Commission on or before the twentieth (20th) day of each Filing Month an information sheet that specifies the adjustments to be effective under this rider for the Effective Month. The Company shall file any corrections from a timely filed information sheet on or before the last day of the Filing Month. Any other filing after that date will be accepted only if submitted as a special permission request under the provisions of Section 9-201(a) of the Public Utilities Act [220 ILCS 5/9-201(a)].

431 The Company shall file with the Commission annually, no 432 later than March 31, a statement of the Reconciliation 433 Adjustment components RA₁ and RA₂ to be applicable for the Upcoming Reconciliation Period. At this same time, the 434 435 Company shall also file a petition with the Commission 436 seeking initiation of a docketed annual reconciliation 437 process. The petition shall be supported by testimony as to 438 the accuracy of the reconciliation statement. Supporting invoices and any additional supporting documentation or 439 workpapers affecting the information presented in 440 Company's reconciliation shall be provided 441 Commission's Accounting Staff at the time of the filing of the 442 reconciliation statement, petition, and testimony. 443 ordered adjustment from such proceeding (Factor O) shall 444 be reflected in the Reconciliation Adjustment over a 445 succeeding Reconciliation Period. 446 447 Section D - Terms and Conditions. 448 Subject to Terms and Conditions of Service and Riders to Schedule of Rates for Gas Service which are applicable to 449 this rider. At this same time, the Company shall also file a 450 petition with the Commission seeking initiation of an annual 451 reconciliation to determine the accuracy of the statement. 452 The reconciling amount from such proceeding (Factor O) 453 shall be recovered in the manner determined by the 454 Commission in the annual reconciliation proceeding. 455 Are you proposing any other changes to the Nicor Gas Rider VBA? 456 Q. 457 Yes, I am proposing language 1) to require a report on the effect of Rider 458 Α. VBA on the Company's rate of return and 2) to enhance the effectiveness 459 of the annual internal audit as a tool for monitoring Rider VBA. 460

Please explain your proposed language regarding a report on the effect of

O.

462 Rider VBA on the Company's rate of return.

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

A. I propose to insert language into proposed Rider VBA, so that it reads as follows:

Section C - Reports and Reconciliations

The Company shall file with the Commission on or before the twentieth (20th) day of each Filing Month an information sheet that specifies the adjustments to be effective under this rider for the Effective Month. The Company shall file any corrections from a timely filed information sheet on or before the last day of the Filing Month. Any other filing after that date will be accepted only if submitted as a special permission request under the provisions of Section 9-201(a) of the Public Utilities Act [220 ILCS 5/9-201(a)].

475 476 477

492

493

494

495

496

497

The Company shall file with the Commission annually, no later than March 31, a statement of the Reconciliation Adjustment components RA₁ and RA₂ to be applicable for the Upcoming Reconciliation Period. As part of the filing, the Company shall provide the annual earned rate of return for the most recent Fiscal Year and an analysis of the impact of VBA revenues collected during the most recent Fiscal Year on that reported earned return. At this same time, the Company shall also file a petition with the Commission seeking initiation of a docketed annual reconciliation process. The petition shall be supported by testimony as to the accuracy of the reconciliation statement. Supporting invoices and any additional supporting documentation or workpapers affecting the information presented in the Company's reconciliation shall be provided Commission's Accounting Staff at the time of the filing of the reconciliation statement, petition, and testimony. ordered adjustment from such proceeding (Factor O) shall be reflected in the Reconciliation Adjustment over a succeeding Reconciliation Period.

In its Order in Docket Nos. 07-0241/07-0242 Consolidated, the

Commission directed Staff to provide the Commissioners an annual report on Peoples/North Shore's rates of return and the effect of Rider VBA on the returns. If the Commission decides to adopt Rider VBA for Nicor Gas and similarly requires an annual report of Nicor Gas' earned rate of return and the effect of Rider VBA on that return, I propose that the Commission require the Company to separately provide in its annual reconciliation filing the annual earned rate of return and an analysis of the impact of VBA revenues collected for that period on that reported earned return. This provision would ensure that the Commission would be able to timely receive and review such earned rate of return information.

- Q. Please explain your proposed language regarding the annual internal audit feature of Rider VBA.
- A. As filed, proposed Rider VBA anticipates an annual internal audit, but there are no requirements regarding what will be tested in the audit. In order for the annual internal audit to be an effective tool to the Staff and the Commission in monitoring Rider VBA, it is important that the audit include certain specified tests of the rate mechanism. Therefore, I propose the following language changes to the Audit section of Rider VBA:

Section E - Audit

The Company shall file annually with the Manager of the 519 Accounting Department of the Commission's Financial 520 521 Analysis Division, no later than August 1, an internal audit report that determines whether or not the VBA and 522 information provided in Section C have been calculated in 523 524 accordance with this rider. 525 526 The Company shall annually conduct an internal audit of the operation of the Rider. The internal audit shall include at least 527 the following tests: 1) test that the Actual Margin per customer 528 529 for each applicable Service Classification that exceeds or falls short of any previously established Rate Case Margin per 530 customer is being correctly reflected in the calculations; 2) 531 test that costs recovered through Rider VBA are not being 532 533 collected through other approved tariffs; 3) test that Rider VBA adjustments are being properly billed to customers; 4) 534 535 test that Rider VBA revenues are being recorded in appropriate accounts; and 5) test that Rider VBA charges or 536 537 credits are being identified and recorded properly for The above list of tests 538 calculating rates and reconciliation. 539 does not limit the scope of the audit. 540 541 The Company shall submit the audit report to the Manager of the Accounting Department of the Commission's Financial 542 Analysis Division no later than August 1 each year, beginning 543 in 2010. Such report shall be verified by an officer of the 544 545 Company. 546 Q. Is Rider VBA a partial or a full decoupling mechanism? 547 548 Α. Rider VBA, as proposed, is a partial decoupling mechanism in that it 549 tracks changes in usage on a per customer basis. A decline in gas 550 consumption that results in a decline in the revenue margin per customer, 551 as compared to the revenue margin per customer approved in the instant

proceeding, will result in a positive VBA rate; i.e., a charge to customers.

Conversely, an increase in gas consumption that results in an increase in the revenue margin per customer, as compared to the revenue margin per customer approved in the instant proceeding, will result in a negative VBA rate, i.e., a refund to customers. Because the revenue margin per customer approved in the instant proceeding is based on a projected level of customers, an increase in the actual number of customers could result in the Company recovering more for fixed costs than the amount in the approved revenue requirement.

Q. How would Rider VBA function if it were a full decoupling mechanism?

Α.

Rider VBA could be structured to ensure that the Company recovers the fixed operating costs inherent in the volumetric charges that customers pay only on the actual volumes of gas delivered to them. If Rider VBA were structured as a full decoupling mechanism, the VBA rate would be determined on the fixed cost portion of the volumetric charges on a total revenue requirement basis, as opposed to a per customer basis as described above. If actual revenue billed for the fixed costs was less than the amount included in the revenue requirement approved by the Commission, the VBA rate would be positive, resulting in a charge to customers. If actual revenue billed for the fixed costs exceeded the

573		amount included in the approved revenue requirement, the VBA rate
574		would be negative, resulting in a refund to customers. Under full
575		decoupling, an increase in the actual number of customers would not
576		result in the Company potentially recovering more for fixed costs than the
577		amount in the approved revenue requirement.
578	Q.	What changes are needed for Rider VBA to function as a full decoupling
579		mechanism?
580		
581	A.	For Rider VBA to function as a full decoupling mechanism, the formulas
582		for the Effective Component and the RA ₁ component of the Reconciliation
583		Adjustment should be modified as follows:
584 585 586 587		(1) Effective Component – The adjustment, determined for each Service Classification, to be billed for the Effective Month is represented by the following formula:
588		[(RCM / RCC) - (AM / AC)] x PFC x RCC / T x 100
589 590 591 592		(RCM – AM) x PFC / T x 100 Where: RCM represents the Rate Case Margin for the Reconciliation Month.
593 594		RCC represents the number of Rate Case Customers for the Reconciliation Month.
595 596 597		AM represents the Actual Margin for the Reconciliation Month. AC represents the number of Actual Customers for the Reconciliation— Month.
598 599 600 601 602		T represents the forecast Factor T for the Effective Month. PFC represents the percentage of the Company's costs that are fixed as determined and authorized by the Commission in the Company's most recent rate proceeding.
UUZ		

603 604		(2) Reconciliation Adjustment
605		RA ₁ shall be represented by the following formula:
606		(RCM - (AM/AC x RCC)) x PFC - VBAR ³¹
607		(RCM – AM) x PFC - VBAR
608 609		Where: RCM represents the Rate Case Margin for the Fiscal Year.
610 611		AM represents the Actual Margin for the Fiscal Year. AC represents the average number of Actual Customers for the
612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 622 623 624		represents the average number of Rate Case Customers for the Fiscal Year. VBAR represents the sum of the actual monthly revenues arising from the application of the Effective Component in Section B (1) for the Fiscal Year. O represents the Ordered adjustment, in dollars (\$), ordered by the Commission that is to be refunded to or collected from customers as a result of the reconciliation established in Section C. PFC represents the percentage of the Company's costs that are fixed as determined and authorized by the Commission in the Company's most recent rate Proceeding.
625	Q.	Are you making a recommendation regarding which mechanism - Rider
626		VBA partial decoupling or Rider VBA full decoupling - is appropriate for
627		the recovery of Nicor Gas' fixed delivery service costs?
628	·	
629	A.	No, I am not making a recommendation regarding which mechanism is
630		appropriate for the recovery of the Company's fixed delivery service costs.
631		Instead. Lam providing the Commission another alternative it may wish to

consider should it decide to approve another rider pilot program. It is evident from the Commission's decision to approve a Rider VBA (structured as a partial decoupling mechanism) in Docket Nos. 07-0242/07-0242 (Cons.) that it is willing to consider alternatives to the traditional method of recovering a portion of fixed costs through the volume based portion of a customer's bill. It is also evident from the fact that Rider VBA was approved as a pilot program that the Commission is interested in evaluating the method before it is given permanent approval. If, in the instant proceeding, the Commission were to approve recovery of fixed delivery service costs through a pilot program different from the one approved in Docket Nos. 07-0242/07-0242 (Cons.), there would be more information available with which to evaluate which is the better method of recovering a utility's fixed costs.

Rider 29 – Energy Efficiency Plan ("Rider EEP")

Q. Please summarize your testimony regarding the Company's proposed Rider EEP.

A. For various policy reasons explained by Staff witness David Brightwell in Staff Exhibit 13.0, Staff does not recommend that this rider be approved

(..continued)

³¹ Revised formula discussed at page 23.

651		by the Commission. However, if the Commission determines it is
652		appropriate for the Company to recover funds necessary to implement
653		various energy conservation and efficiency programs through a rider
654		mechanism, my testimony presents recommended changes and/or
655		improvements to Rider EEP.
656	Q.	Please describe the recommended changes and/or improvements to
657		Rider EEP if the Commission approves the rider.
658		
659	A.	My recommendations are 1) to change the filing date of the Effective
660		Component, 2) to correct the wording of the definition of "Carry Over
661		Percentage," 3) to correct the formula for the Effective Component, 4) to
662		enhance the description of the RA2 component of the Reconciliation

Reconciliation Period, and 7) to enhance the effectiveness of the annual

Q. Why are you recommending a change to the filing date of the Effective Component?

internal audit as a tool for monitoring Rider EEP.

Adjustment formula, 5) to revise the Reconciliation Adjustment formula to

allow for an Ordered adjustment, 6) to correct the date of the first

669

663

664

666

667

670	A.	Rider EEP states that, "the Company shall file the Effective Component
671		with the Commission no later than December 31, and it shall be in effect
672		for the 12 month period commencing the following January 1." I propose
673		that the filing date be changed to December 1 in order to allow time for
674		Staff to review the filing before it takes effect on January 1. Per the
675		Company's response to Staff DR BCJ 5.01, the Company indicated it
676		would agree to a December 1 filing date.
677	Q.	Why are you recommending a correction to the wording of the definition of
678		Carry Over Percentage?
679		
680	A.	Nicor Gas' Rider EEP is modeled after Rider EEP approved in Docket
681		Nos. 07-0241/07-0242 (Cons.) for Peoples and North Shore, which
682		identifies the period of time an Effective Component is in effect as a
683		Program Period. Nicor Gas has chosen to identify the same period of
684		time as a Plan Period. The definition of Carry Over Percentage makes
685		reference to a Program Period, which should be corrected to read Plan
686		Period.
687 688 689 690		Section A – Definitions Carry Over PercentageThe Carry Over Percentage shall be 75% in the second Plan Period, 50% in the third Plan Period, 25% in the fourth Plan Period and 10% in each subsequent Program

<u>Plan</u> Period.

692	Q.	Why are you recommending a correction to the formula for the Effective
693		Component?
694		
695	A.	As filed, the formula to calculate the Effective Component for each month
696		during the Plan Period will accommodate only a twelve-month Plan
697		Period. Because the first Plan Period will be less than twelve months, I
698		propose that the formula be changed to allow for a Plan Period that is not
699		twelve months.
700 701		Section B – Determination of Adjustment (1) Effective Component
702		(APB + CSA) / CUST / 12 x 100
703		(APB + CSA) / CUST / MONTHS x 100
704		Where:
705 706 707 708	÷	APB represents APB for the Plan Period CSA represents CSA for the Plan Period CUST represents CUST for the Plan Period MONTHS represents the number of months in the Plan Period
709		Per the Company's response to Staff DR BCJ 5.05, the Company
710		indicated it would agree with the change.
711	Q.	Why are you recommending an enhancement to the description of the
712		RA ₂ component of the Reconciliation Adjustment formula?
713		·

714	Α.	It is not clear from the description of the RA ₂ component how it would be						
715		calculated. To enhance clarity, I recommend that the formula for						
716		calculating the RA₂ component be included in its description.						
717 718		Section B – Determination of Adjustment (2) Reconciliation Adjustment						
719		Where:						
720 721 722 723		represents the reconciliation of RA ₁ (RA _{1-(filed prior year)} – RA _{1-(biled prior year)} for the Previous Plan Period, where a (+RA ₂) equals an amount due the Company and a (-RA ₂) equals an amount due the customer.						
724	Q.	Why are you recommending a revision to the Reconciliation Adjustment						
725		formula to allow for a Commission ordered adjustment?						
726								
727	A.	In the section of Rider EEP regarding the Reconciliation Adjustment, the						
728		Company defines a factor O as an adjustment ordered by the						
729		Commission that is to be refunded to or collected from customers as a						
730		result of the annual reconciliation process. However, there is no factor O						
731		component in the formula for the Reconciliation Adjustment. I						
732		recommend that the formula for the Reconciliation Adjustment be revised						
733		to properly reflect an ordered adjustment by the Commission.						
734 735		Section B – Determination of Adjustment (2) Reconciliation Adjustment						

736		[(COB1-COB2)+[(RA1+RA2+O)x(1+i)]]/CUST/8 x 100
737	Q.	Why are you recommending a correction to the date of the first
738		Reconciliation Period?
739		
740	Α.	Rider EEP identifies the first Reconciliation Period as the eight-month
741		period commencing May 1, 2009. The correct date is May 1, 2010. The
742		Reconciliation Period is defined as the time during which the
743		Reconciliation Adjustment is recovered. If the first Reconciliation
744		Adjustment to be calculated is for the Plan Period ending December 31,
745		2009, the first Reconciliation Period must be the period beginning May 1,
746		2010. Per the Company's supplemental response to Staff DR BCJ 5.07,
747		the Company indicated it would agree to the date change.
748	Q.	Please explain your proposed enhancement to the annual internal audit
749		feature of Rider EEP.
750		
751	A.	As filed, proposed Rider EEP anticipates an annual internal audit, but
752		there are no requirements regarding what will be tested in the audit. In
753		order for the annual internal audit to be an effective tool to the Staff and
754		the Commission in monitoring Rider EEP, it is important that the audit

754

Therefore, I 755 include certain specified tests of the rate mechanism. propose the following language changes to the Audit section of Rider 756 757 EEP: 758 Section E - Audit 759 The Company shall file annually with the Manager of the 760 Accounting Department of the Commission's Financial Analysis Division, no later than August 1, an internal audit 761 762 report that determines whether or not the EEP and information provided in Section C have been calculated in 763 764 accordance with this Tariff. 765 766 The Company shall annually conduct an internal audit of the 767 operation of the Rider. The internal audit shall include at least the following tests: 1) test that costs being recovered through 768 Rider EEP are not being recovered through other approved 769 tariffs; 2) test that Rider EEP adjustments are being properly 770 billed to customers; 3) test that Rider EEP revenues are being 771 recorded in appropriate accounts; 4) test that Rider EEP 772 773 charges or credits are being identified and recorded properly for calculating rates and reconciliation; and 5) test that the 774 775 costs associated with the Company's responsibility as Fiscal Agent for the EEP are being identified and recorded in 776 777 appropriate accounts. The above list of tests does not limit 778 the scope of the audit. 779 780 The Company shall submit the audit report to the Manager of the Accounting Department of the Commission's Financial 781 782 Analysis Division no later than August 1 each year, beginning 783 in 2010. Such report shall be verified by an officer of the 784 Company. 785 Conclusion

786

787

Q.

Does this question end your prepared direct testimony?

788 A. Yes.

VERIFICATION

I, Burma C. Jones, being first duly sworn, depose and state that I am an Accountant in the Accounting Department of the Financial Analysis Division of the Illinois Commerce Commission; that I sponsor the foregoing Direct Testimony of Burma C. Jones; that I have personal knowledge of the information stated in the foregoing Direct Testimony; and that such information is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Illinois Commerce Commission

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 27th day of August/2008.

Notary Public

OFFICIAL SEAL
LISA BOWMAN
NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF ILLINOIS
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 12-9-2011

Docket No. 08-0363 ICC Staff Exhibit 3.0 Schedule 3.01 Page 1 of 1

Northern Illinois Gas Company Adjustment to Rate Case Expense For the Test Year Ending December 31, 2009 (In Thousands)

Rate Case Expense Adjust. (C-D) (E)		\$ (567)
Rate Case Expense Per Company (D)	1,031 2,800 510 2,123	6,464
Per (Ex	()	es es
Rate Case Expense Per Staff (C)	1,031 2,800 510 2,011	6,352
Rat Pe Ex	vs	φ φ
Description (B)	Consultants Legal Fees Other Expense Unamortized Prior Rate Case Expense	Amortization Period in Years Amortization Expense Per Year (Line 5/Line 7)
Line No. (A)		

Source

Company Schedule C-10. Col. C&D, Lines 1-3 Company Schedule C-2.2. Col. D, Line 4

- 112 Amortization for Jan., Feb., Mar. 2009 (\$447 annual amort./12 x 3) \$2.011 Unamortized rate case expense balance at 3/31/09 \$2,123 Unamortized rate case expense balance at 12/31/08 Col. C, Line 4

Docket No. 08-0363 ICC Staff Exhibit 3.0 Schedule 3.02 Page 1 of 2

Adjustment to Charitable Contributions For the Test Year Ending December 31, 2009 Northern Illinois Gas Company (In Thousands)

Amount	<u>(</u>)	\$ 1,486	;	\$ (100)	(25)	(220)	(9)	(53)	\$ 1,082	;	\$ (404)
Description	(B)	Charitable Contributions per Company	Deduct:	Aurora Foundation	Chicago United	Salvation Army - Chicago	The Conference Board	Contributions less than \$5,000	Charitable Contributions per Staff		Adjustment to A&G Expense (line 8 - line 1)
Line No.	€	-	7	က	4	z,	9	7	∞	თ	10

Company Schedule C-7, pp. 14 - 16. ICC Staff Ex. 3.0, Schedule 3.02, p. 2, line 5. Source: Lines 1 - 6

Line 7

ICC Staff Exhibit 3.0 Schedule 3.02 Docket No. 08-0363 Page 2 of 2

> Adjustment to Charitable Contributions For the Test Year Ending December 31, 2009 Northern Illinois Gas Company (In Thousands)

Amount	(0)	477	214	263	210	53	
An		₩		₩		so	
Description	(B)	Total contributions less than \$5,000 projected for test year	Total contributions less than \$5,000 expended in 2007	Projected increase (line 1 - line 2)	Amount of projected increase explained	Unexplained amount of projected increase (line 3 - line 4)	
Line No.	€	_	7	ო	4	5	

w
CO
=
31
O
ιħΙ
~,

Line 1

Line 2

Company Schedule C-7, p. 16. Company Schedule C-7, p. 10. Company response to Staff DR BCJ 2.06. Line 4

Docket No. 08-0363 ICC Staff Exhibit 3.0 Schedule 3.03 Page 1 of 2

Northern Illinois Gas Company
Adjustment to Office Supplies and Expenses
For the Test Year Ending December 31, 2009
(in Thousands)

Adjustment (D) - (C)	<u>(i</u>)	\$ (264) (92) (311) (93)	(1)
	<u>Q</u>	255 25	į
Per Company	<u>(</u>)	\$ 264 92 566 118	2.
Description	(B)	Branding Contribution for Economic Development Training Seminars/Meetings	
ine Jo.	(V	- 2 E 4 4 4	5

O)
o
_
3
0
Ø

Line 3, Col. C Line 3, Col. C Line 3, Col. D Line 4, Col. D Line 4, Col. D		Exhibit 3 of Company response to Staff DR DLH 2.05.	Company response to Staff DR BCJ 7.02.	Company response to Staff DR BCJ 3.08.	ICC Staff Ex. 3.0, Schedule 3.02, p. 2, col. G.	Company response to Staff DR BCJ 3.08.	Line 4, Col. D ICC Staff Ex. 3.0, Schedule 3.02, p. 2, col. G.	
	Source	Line 1	Line 2	Line 3, Col. C	Line 3, Col. D	Line 4, Col. C	Line 4, Col. D	

Docket No. 08-0363 ICC Staff Exhibit 3.0 Schedule 3.03 Page 2 of 2

Northern Illinois Gas Company Adjustment to Office Supplies and Expenses For the Test Year Ending December 31, 2009 (In Thousands)

Per Staff (E) x (F) (G)	\$ 255	\$ 25
Test Year Projected (F)	\$ 266	\$ 118
% Expended (C) / (D) (E)	45%	21%
Budget (D)	338 231 280 849	315 95 105 515
Buc (1	w w	φ φ
Actual Expended (C)	152 101 129 382	59 27 21 107
Exp.	φ φ	φ φ
Description (B)	Training 2005 2006 2007 3-Year Totals	Seminars/Meeting Expenses 2005 2006 2007 3-Year Totals
Line (A)	- 0 m 4 m o	× 8 8 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11

Company response to Staff DR BCJ 7.05. Company response to Staff DR BCJ 3.08. Source: Col. C & D Col. F

ICC Staff Exhibit 3.0 Docket No. 08-0363 Schedule 3.04 Page 1 of 1

Northern Illinois Gas Company
Adjustment to Memberships and Dues
For the Test Year Ending December 31, 2009
(In Thousands)

Adjustment (D) - (C) (E)	\$ (8) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (9) (9)	\$ (128)
Per Staff (D)	ω	٠ •
Per Company (C)	82 + 8 + 8 + 8 + 8 + 8 + 8 + 8 + 8 + 8 +	128
,	Chicago Southland Chamber of Commerce Downers Grove Chamber of Commerce Evanston Chamber of Commerce Illinois Fire Chiefs Kankakee River Valley Chamber of Commerce Matteson Area Chamber of Commerce Metropolitan Mayors Caucus Naperville Area Chamber of Commerce Rockford Area Chamber of Commerce South Surburban Mayors and Managers Association Southwest Conference of Mayors Memberships under \$1,000 Commercial Club Foundation Chicagoland Chamber of Commerce	₽
	10 Chi 11 Chi 12 Sou Mai ar Eve Mai ar Eve Mai ar Eve Mai ar Eve 11 Mai ar Eve 12 No Nag 13 Mei Chi 15 Chi	

Company Schedule C-6, pp. 7-8. Company response to Staff DR BCJ 6.01. <u>Source:</u> Lines 1 - 13 Lines 14 - 15

Docket No. 08-0363 ICC Staff Exhibit 3.0 Schedule 3.05 Page 1 of 1

Northern Illinois Gas Company Adjustment to Environmental Costs For the Test Year Ending December 31, 2009 (In Thousands)

Amount	<u>(</u>)	\$ 392	\$ (392)
Description	(B)	Mercury related costs per Staff Mercury related costs per Company	Adjustment to Environmental Costs (line 1 - line 2)
Line No.	(A)	- 2	w 4

Source: Line 2

Company response to Staff DR BCJ 11.10.